

**MINUTES FOR THE COURT OF APPEAL
STATE OF CALIFORNIA
SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT**

January 19, 2010

DIVISION ONE

[illegible]

The judgment is affirmed.

Rothschild, J.

We concur: Mallano, P.J.
 Chaney, J.

DIVISION TWO

B214669 Julie Nieto (Certified for Publication)
v.
Blue Shield of California Life & Health Insurance Company

The judgment is affirmed. Blue Shield is entitled to its costs on appeal.

Doi Todd, J.

We concur: Boren, P.J.
 Ashmann-Gerst, J.

DIVISION THREE

B215699 Los Angeles County, D.C.F.S. (Not for Publication)
v.
Tania L.

The orders of the juvenile court are affirmed.

Klein, P.J.

We concur: Croskey, J.
 Aldrich, J.

B215699 In re John A. et al., (Not for Publication)
Persons coming under the Juvenile Court Law
Tania L.
v.
Los Angeles County Department of Children and Family Services

The orders of the juvenile court are affirmed.

Klein, P.J.

We concur: Croskey, J.
 Aldrich, J.

DIVISION FOUR

B209162 People (Not for Publication)
v.
Cervantes

The clerk of the superior court is directed to strike the \$200 parole revocation fine imposed pursuant to section 1202.45; to strike the portion of the judgment prohibiting appellant from owning, using, threatening to use, possessing, buying, or selling deadly or dangerous weapons; and to deliver an amended abstract of judgment to the Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation. As modified, the judgment is affirmed.

Suzukawa, J.

We concur: Epstein, P.J.
Manella, J.

B213254 People (Not for Publication)
v.
Perez

The judgment is conditionally reversed in part and the matter is remanded to the trial court to conduct an in camera review of the requested personnel records for complaints alleging dishonesty, the fabrication of police reports, the planting of evidence, or perjury. After the review, if the court determines there is no discoverable material, it is directed to reinstate the judgment. If the court concludes the records contain relevant material, it shall order disclosure, give defendant an opportunity to demonstrate prejudice, and order a new trial if there is a reasonable probability the outcome would have been different had the information been disclosed. If defendant cannot demonstrate prejudice, the court is directed to reinstate the judgment. In all other respects, the judgment is affirmed.

Suzukawa, J.

We concur: Epstein, P.J.
Willhite, J.

DIVISION FIVE

B212967 People
 v.
 Santos Dominguez

Filed order modifying opinion. (No change in the judgment)

DIVISION SIX

B212474 Gregg A. Berge et al. (Not for Publication)
 v.
 Cambria Community Services District, et al.

The judgment is affirmed. Respondent(s) to recover costs.

Coffee, J.

We concur: Gilbert, P.J.
 Yegan, J.

DIVISION SEVEN

B214390 Moreno (Not for Publication)
 v.
 Bratton, et al.

The judgment is affirmed. Respondent(s) to recover costs.

Zelon, J.

We concur: Woods, Acting P.J.
 Jackson, J.

DIVISION SEVEN (continued)

B204745 Holmes (Not for Publication)
v.
Tsou

The judgment is affirmed. Tsou shall recover his costs on appeal.

Zelon, J.

We concur: Perluss, P.J.
Jackson, J.

B213074 People (Not for Publication)
v.
Russell

The judgment is conditionally reversed. On remand the trial court is to conduct an in camera review of the requested personnel records, as identified in this opinion, for relevance. If that review reveals no relevant information, the trial court shall reinstate defendant's original judgment of conviction and sentence, with one exception. If reinstated, the original judgment shall be modified to impose and stay the sentence on defendant's conviction for petty theft.

If the in camera review reveals relevant information, the trial court must order disclosure, allow defendant an opportunity to demonstrate prejudice and order a new trial if there is a reasonable probability the outcome would have been different had the information been disclosed. If defendant is unable to show any prejudice, the original judgment is to be reinstated, with the sentence modified as stated above. In all other respects the orders of the trial court and defendant's conviction are affirmed.

Zelon, J.

We concur: Perluss, P.J.
Jackson, J.

DIVISION SEVEN (continued)

[illegible]

The judgment of the superior court is affirmed.

Zelon, J.

We concur: Woods, Acting P.J.
Jackson, J.

B214257 People (Not for Publication)
v.
Lou D.

The order of disposition is vacated and the cause remanded for the juvenile court to comply with Welfare and Institutions Code section 702 and *In re Manzy W.* [], 14 Cal.4th 1199. In all other respects, the order under review is affirmed.

Zelon, J.

We concur: Woods, Acting P.J.
Jackson, J.

B211516 Thomson, et al. (Not for Publication)
v.
Beuchel

The judgment is affirmed. Beuchel is to recover her costs on appeal.

Perluss, P.J.

We concur: Zelon, J.
 Jackson, J.

DIVISION SEVEN (continued)

B215742 Los Angeles County, D.C.F.S. (Not for Publication)
v.
Robert R.

The appeal from the order denying Robert R.'s section 388 petition is dismissed as moot. The order terminating dependency jurisdiction, awarding joint legal custody of H.R. to Robert R. and E.F. but sole physical custody of H.R. to E.F. and restricting Robert R. to monitored visits is affirmed.

Perluss, P.J.

We concur: Zelon, J.
 Jackson, J.

B210534 People (Not for Publication)
v.
Navaei

The judgment, as reflected in the August 21, 2008 minute order, is modified as follows: (1) The probation condition ordering Navaei to stay away from places where users, buyers or sellers congregate is modified to read, “Stay away from places where you know users, buyers or sellers of illegal drugs congregate”; (2) the condition relating to leaving in the country is stricken in its entirety; (3) the condition prohibiting Navaei from associating with drug users or sellers is modified to read, “Do not associate with persons known by you to be illegal drug users or sellers.” As modified, the judgment is affirmed.

Perluss, P.J.

We concur: Woods, J.
Jackson, J.

January 19, 2010 (Continued)

DIVISION SEVEN (continued)

[illegible]

The judgment is affirmed.

Perluss, P.J.

We concur: Woods, J.
Zelon, J.

DIVISION EIGHT

B216703 People (Not for Publication)
v.
D.W.,
In re D.W., a Person coming Under the Juvenile Court Law.

The specification of maximum confinement is stricken. As modified, the order of wardship is affirmed.

Mohr, J. (Assigned)

We concur: Rubin, Acting P.J.
Bigelow, J.

B216286 Los Angeles County, D.C.F.S. (Not for Publication)
v.
M.S.,
In re A.C., a Person Coming Under the Juvenile Court Law.

The orders denying the mother's section 388 petition and terminating parental rights are affirmed.

Mohr, J. (Assigned)

We concur: Flier, Acting P.J.
Bigelow, J.